



Shri Bhupinder Singh,
(Secretary, District Congress),
VPO Bahman, Tehsil Samana,
Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Director,
Rural Development and Panchayat Deptt. PB.,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director, Rural Development and Panchayat Deptt. PB.,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3934 of 2020

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Gurpiar Singh, Asstt (62391-50421), O/o Deputy Director, Rural
Development and Panchayat Deptt. PB., Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 26.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Director, Rural Development and Panchayat Deptt. PB., Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Facility.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the sought for information has already been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 722-727 dated 19.02.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission as compliance.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the PIO on 07.05.2021, diarized vide no. 10109, which is a copy of letter no. 1593 dated 05.05.2021 along with investigation report no.722-727 dated 19.02.2021 conducted by the Divisional Deputy Director, Rural Development and Panchayats, Patiala. All the documents are taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 26.08.220 and also gone through the letters sent by the PIO. Tthe PIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 12.05.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocompunjab.com



Shri Bagga Singh,
S/o Shri Lal Singh,
R/o Village Ghudari Khurd,
Tehsil Payal, Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Doraha,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 934 of 2020

Present:- Shri Bagga Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Doraha, Distt. Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Bagga Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 12.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Doraha, Distt. Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Facility.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the order dated 25.02.2021 and on that date both the parties were present. The SPIO stated that the information is ready and the applicant can collect the said information either personally or it would be sent through post. Then the applicant stated that the information be sent to him through registered post.

Today the SPIO though is absent, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no. 946 dated 07.05.2021 along with the copies of information.

I have gone through the above said letter vide which the sought for information has been sent to the complainant-applicant. I have also gone through the RTI application.

The SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the complainant/applicant, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 12.05.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Ritu Pal,
S/o Shri Karan Singh,
VPO Sihala, Tehsil Samrala,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Majri,
Tehsil Kharar,
Distt. Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 914 of 2020

Present:- Shri Ritu Pal, complainant/applicant is absent.
None present on behalf of SPIO, O/o BDPO, Majri, Tehsil Kharar, Distt. Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Ritu Pal, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 22.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Majri, Tehsil Kharar, Distt. Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Facility.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the order dated 25.02.2021. The complainant/applicant was absent on that date, but Shri Manjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary was present and he stated that the information is ready and the applicant can collect the information personally by visiting the office of the SPIO on any working day. So, the applicant was directed to visit the office of the SPIO and collect the sought for information.

Today the respondent though is absent, but he has informed the Commission telephonically that he had made several calls to the complainant/applicant to collect the information, but he has not visited the office of the SPIO. It appears that the complainant/applicant is not interested in getting the information.

Under these circumstances the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the direction to the SPIO that the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the applicant through registered post within a week and compliance report be sent to the Commission.

Dated: 12.05.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Sulakshan Sharma, S/o Shri Sudarshan Sharma,
R/o H.No. E.K. 112, Shivraj Garh,
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner (Sales Branch),
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Deputy Commissioner (Sales Branch),
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3209 and 3210 of 2020

Present:- Shri Sulakshan Sharma, appellant is absent.
Sh Jashanjit Singh, SPIO-cum-DRO, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar is present.

O R D E R

Shri Sulakshan Sharma, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.03.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner (Sales Branch), Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the SPIO, Shri Jashanjit Singh is present and he stated that the reply/information has already been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 01/DRA dated 18.03.2020.

I have gone through the Order dated 25.02.2021 and on that date also the appellant was absent and Shri Jashanjit Singh, SPIO-cum-DRO was present and he stated that the appellant has sought certified copy of case no. 567 against which Nazul plot had been sold which is situated at Shivraj Garh, Near Bhagat Singh Chowk, Jalandhar City, but according to revenue record there is no Nazul land in District Jalandhar and the reply regarding this has been sent to the applicant vide letter no. 01/DRA dated 18.03.2020. But as the appellant was absent on that date, so an opportunity was provided to him that he should attend the hearing on the next date and represent his case, otherwise the case will be heard and decided on the basis of the reply sent by the SPIO.

But today the appellant is again absent and neither he has sent any deficiencies nor he has sent any mail to the Commission. It means he is satisfied with the reply/information sent by the SPIO. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 12.05.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Avtar Singh, S/o Late Shri Daljit Singh,
VPO Chuharwali,
Distt. Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Excise & Taxation Officer,
Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Divisional Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3926 of 2020

Present:- Shri Avtar Singh, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Excise & Taxation Officer, Kapurthala.

ORDER

Shri Avtar Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Excise & Taxation Officer, Kapurthala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the Order dated 25.02.2021. Both the parties were absent on that date, but the Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO, which was a copy of letter no.129 dated 16.02.2021 stating that regarding the information sought by the appellant, a challan was issued to the concerned Liquor shop owner and a penalty of Rs. 3,05,000/- was imposed upon him which was deposited in the Government Treasury on 14.10.2020 and the copy of the receipt has also been annexed with the mail. The copy of the said letter was sent to the appellant. But as both the parties were absent, so an opportunity was granted to both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing to pursue their case.

Today again both the parties are absent. But the SPIO has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.303 dated 10.05.2021 along with a copy of letter no.129 dated 16.02.2021 and a copy of receipt.

I have gone through letter no.303 dated 10.05.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the requisite information has already been sent to the appellant vide letter no.129 dated 16.02.2021.

The appellant is again absent today and neither he has sent any deficiencies nor he has sent any mail to the Commission. It means he is satisfied with the reply/information sent by the SPIO. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 12.05.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Avtar Singh, S/o Late Shri Daljit Singh,
VPO Chuharwali,
Distt. Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Divisional Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3929 of 2020

Present:- Shri Avtar Singh, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Kapurthala.

ORDER

Shri Avtar Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 20.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Kapurthala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the Order dated 25.02.2021. Both the parties were absent on that date, but the Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO, which was a copy of letter no. 133 dated 16.02.2021 along with copy of letter no.240 dated 26.11.2020 stating that the reply regarding the sought for information has already been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 240 dated 26.11.2020. But as both the parties were absent, so an opportunity was granted to both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing to pursue their case.

Today again both the parties are absent. But the SPIO has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.304 dated 10.05.2021 along with a copy of letter no.133 dated 16.02.2021 and a copy of reply dated 17.11.2020 submitted by Excise Inspector, Phagwara (West), Kapurthala.

I have gone through letter no.304 dated 10.05.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the requisite information has already been sent to the appellant vide letter no.133 dated 16.02.2021.

The appellant is again absent today and neither he has sent any deficiencies nor he has sent any mail to the Commission. It means he is satisfied with the reply/information sent by the SPIO. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 12.05.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Hardeep Singh,
S/o Shri Bachan Singh,
Village Thathgarh,
Tehsil & Distt. Tarn Taran.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Food and Supply Officer,
Tarn Taran.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 787 of 2020

Present:- Shri Hardeep Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Shri Sukhraj Singh, Junior Auditor is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o District Food and Supply Officer, Tarn Taran.

ORDER

Shri Hardeep Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 11.02.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o District Food and Supply Officer, Tarn Taran.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Facility.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information has been sent to the complainant/applicant through registered post vide letter no. 1778 dated 31.03.2021 and a copy of same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The complainant/applicant though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission, which was received on 22.04.2021, diarized vide no. 9025 and he has submitted that incomplete information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

This is a complaint case and the respondent SPIO has provided the reply/information to the complainant-applicant, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that in case the complainant-applicant is not satisfied with the reply/information sent by the SPIO, then he is at liberty to file first appeal before the First Appellate Authority within 20 days.

Dated: 12.05.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Suresh Arora,
Guru Nanak Colony,
Street No. 1, House No. 21,
Faridkot.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
School Education, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Secretary,
School Education, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3930 of 2020

Present:- Shri Suresh Arora, appellant is absent.
Shri Prithpal Singh, Sr. Asstt. (98786-40131) is present on behalf of the SPIO O/o Secretary, School Education, Punjab, Chandigarh.
Shri Pardeep Kumar, PIO-cum-Deputy DEO (SE), Faridkot is present.

O R D E R

Shri Suresh Arora, appellant filed RTI application dated 09.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, School Education, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Facility.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondents are present. Shri Prithpal Singh, Sr. Asstt. stated that point-wise information/reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 10.03.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission as compliance.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO, O/o Education Department, (Education-4 Branch), Punjab on 17.03.2021, diarized vide no.6084, which is a copy of letter no.55 dated 10.03.2021.

Shri Pardeep Kumar PIO-cum-Deputy DEO (SE), Faridkot stated that information regarding point non. 2 to 7 of the RTI application has been provided to the appellant by hand on 11.05.2021 and a copy of the same has been sent to the Commission as compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the PIO-cum-Deputy DEO (SE), Faridkot, which is a copy of letter No. 2021/153086-153087/1-3 dated 11.05.2021 along with copy of letter no. 11737 dated 03.04.2018 and copies of information.

I have gone through the RTI application and the information sent by the SPIO. The SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Davinder Singh,
S/o Shri Balwant Singh,
R/o Goniana,
Tehsil & Distt. Muktsar Sahib.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 927 of 2020

Present:- Shri Davinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Sh. Charanjit Joshi, VDO is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

ORDER

Shri Davinder Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 03.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Facility.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information has been sent to the complainant/applicant through registered post on 07.12.2020 and then the information was provided by hand also to the complainant/applicant.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by Shri Sukhninder Singh, PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Goniana. I have gone through the said letter and the annexed copies of information. It is submitted by the PIO that the requisite information has been sent to the complainant/applicant through registered post on 07.12.2020.

The complainant/applicant was absent on the last date of hearing and today again he is absent today and neither he has sent any mail to the Commission nor he has sent any deficiencies to the notice of the PIO, it means he is satisfied with the information. Hence the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 12.05.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Kunal Sehgal,
H.No. 339, Chotti Baradari,
Part-1, Near Medical College,
Jalandhar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2856 of 2020

Present:- Advocate Gautam Sehgal is present on behalf of Shri Kunal Sehgal, appellant.
SI Balwinder Kumar, Incharge RTI Branch is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri Kunal Sehgal, appellant filed RTI application dated 03.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Advocate Gautam Sehgal is present on behalf of Shri Kunal Sehgal, appellant and SI Balwinder Kumar is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The counsel of the appellant stated that in compliance to the Order dated 23.04.2021 passed by the Commission, the appellant visited the office of the SPIO on 26.04.2021 and inspected the concerned file, but the requisite information has not been provided to the appellant till date.

The respondent stated that the information/reply has already been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter dated 14.12.2020.

I have gone through the case file. The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.784-D dated 20.07.2020 vide which it is submitted that the information sought by the appellant cannot be provided to him as it is related with the security branch of the office of the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, which does not come under the ambit of the Right to Information Act, 2005 vide Punjab Govt. Notification No.2/27/05-IAR/191 dated 23.02.06 received vide DGP Punjab office endst. No.21190-289/CON-EO dated 16.05.06 vide which the Security Wing, Punjab Police has been exempted from supplying of information related to security matters .

I have also gone through another e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.17-A-II dated 14.12.2020 along with copy of letter no.80361 dated 10.12.2020, copy of

application dated 15.02.2020, copy of Order dated 04.12.2019 of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana passed in COCP NO.3565 of 2019 and a copy of Speaking Order dated 06.01.2020 passed by the SSP, Ferozepur. It is submitted by the SPIO that the report regarding the information sought by the appellant has been received from the Security Branch of the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar and according to the report no Order by Additional Director General of Police, Security, Punjab, Chandigarh has been received regarding providing the security to Shri Kunal Sehgal and Shri Ajay Sehgal and neither any letter has been issued by the Branch to provide security to them. It is further submitted that only a copy of letter dated 19.02.2020 addressed to the SSP, Ferozepur has been received in the office through registered post and except that no other letter has been received regarding providing security to Shri Kunal Sehgal and Shri Ajay Sehgal. It is again submitted by the SPIO that letter dated 19.02.2020, comprising of 5 pages are being sent to the appellant through registered post.

I have gone through the RTI application and also perused the case file. The security branch of the office of the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, does not come under the ambit of the Right to Information Act, 2005 vide Punjab Govt. Notification No.2/27/05-IAR/191 dated 23.02.06 received vide DGP Punjab office endst. No.21190-289/CON-EO dated 16.05.06 vide which the Security Wing, Punjab Police has been exempted from supplying of information related to security matters.

I have gone through the letters and the notification and also gone through Section 24(4) of the RTI Act, 2005. Though is information has been sought from the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, which comes under the ambit of Right to Information Act, 2005, but as per the reply submitted by the respondent, the Security Wing being working under the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar do not come within the ambit of Right to Information Act, 2005 and is exempted by the State Government U/s 24(4) of the RTI Act, 2005. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 12.05.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.